TO: Director of the U.S. Patent & Trademark Office 1 4 2008 Mail Stop 8 P.O. Box 1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN U.S. PATENT & TRADE MAN OFFICE ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been filed in the U.S. District Court Northern California on the following X Patents or Trademarks: DOCKET NO. DATE FILED U.S. DISTRICT COURT CV 08-03827 JCS 450 Golden Gate Ave., San Francisco, CA 94121 08/11/08 DEFENDANT PLAINTIFF CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC TESSERA INC DATE OF PATENT PATENT OR HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK See attached document 5,663,106 2 3 4 5 In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included: DATE INCLUDED INCLUDED BY ☐ Amendment Answer Cross Bill Other Pleading PATENT OR DATE OF PATENT HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK TRADEMARK NO. OR TRADEMARK 2 3 In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued: DECISION/JUDGEMENT CLERK DATE (BY) DEPUTY CLERK Richard W. Wieking Susan Imbriani August 12, 2008

Copy 1-Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3-Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

1 2	SEYFARTH SHAW LLP Lawrence E. Butler (SBN 111043) Email: lbutler@seyfarth.com	ORIGINAL FILED		
	560 Mission Street Suite 3100	AUG 1 1 2008		
3 4	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 397-2823 No. Facsimile: (415) 397-8549	RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT RITHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND	ADR	
5	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
6	(BERMUDA) LTD. and CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	IN AND FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10		G08-0382	7 JCs	
11	CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES, INC., CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA)) Case No.		
12	LTD. AND CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.,) COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY		
13	Plaintiffs,	JUDGMENT OF NONINFRINGEMENT ANDOF U.S. PATENT NO.	D INVALIDITY	
14	v.)		
15	TESSERA, INC.) DEMAND FOR JURY)	IRIAL	
16	Defendant.) }		
17)		
18	COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY JUDGMENT			
19	Plaintiffs, ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc., ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda)			
20	Ltd. and ChipMOS U.S.A., Inc. (collectively "ChipMOS"), for their Complaint against Tessera			
21	Inc. ("Tessera"), herein allege as follows:			
22	NATURE OF CLAIM			
23	This action seeks a declaratory ju	dgment from this Court, purs	uant to the	
24	Declaratory Judgment Act, 28 U.S.C. § 220, that under the Patent Act of the United States, 35			
25	U.S.C. §101 et seq., ChipMOS has not and does not infringe, either directly, contributorily or by			
26	inducement, U.S. patent no. 5,663,106 ("106 patent"), and that the claims of the '106 patent are			
27	invalid under the Patent Act of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §101 et seq. A copy of the '106			
28	patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.			
	1			
	Complaint for Dec	claratory Judgment		

1

27

28

this district is also the principal place of business for ChipMOS U.S.A. Inc. Moreover, venue is

proper in this Court in that Tessera has conceded proper venue in concurrent litigation pending

24

25

26

27

28

before Judge Wilken.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

- 9. On September 2, 1997, the United States Patent Office issued the '106 patent, entitled "Method of Encapsulating Die and Chip Carrier." (See Exhibit A, page 1)
 - 10. Upon information and belief, Tessera is the owner, by assignment, of all rights, title and interest in and to the '106 patent.
 - 11. Tessera has threatened ChipMOS with legal proceedings for patent infringement by alleging that semiconductor packages assembled by ChipMOS and used, sold, offered for sale or imported into the United States, infringe one or more claims of the '106 patent. Particularly,
- on or about July 30, 2008, Tessera informed ChipMOS that Tessera intends to move to add the '106 patent to the pending International Trade Commission (ITC) Investigation entitled In the

Containing Same (IV), ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-64 (the "649 Investigation"), alleging that some of

- ChipMOS's packaging services and packages assembled by ChipMOS infringe the '106 patent. At present, the parties are involved in two separate patent cases: (1) the 649 12.
- infringement case in United States District Court in the Northern District of California, Case No. 05-4063 CW, before the Honorable Claudia Wilken. Each case has multiple Patents in Suit and concern products similar to those products which Tessera currently contends infringe the claims of the '106 patent.
 - By reason of the foregoing, Tessera has raised a justiciable controversy and 13. ChipMOS has a reasonable apprehension that Tessera will file an action against ChipMOS for infringement of the '106 patent.

COUNT I **DECLARATION OF NON-INFRINGEMENT**

- Plaintiff ChipMOS realleges and repeats the allegations of paragraphs 1-13 as 14. through fully set forth herein.
 - ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) Ltd. and ChipMOS U.S.A., Inc. do not 15.

1	make, use, sell, offer to sell, or import any semiconductor chip assemblies or packages, or		
2	assembly services relating to semiconductor packages, anywhere, including in the United States,		
3	let alone any semiconductor chip assemblies, packages or assembly services that infringe any		
4	claims of the '106 patent.		
5	16. ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc. does not make, use, sell, offer to sell or import		
6	any semiconductor chip assemblies or packages that infringe any claim of the '106 patent.		
7	17. ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc. does not sell or offer to sell any semiconductor		
8	chip assemblies or packages, anywhere, including in the United States, let alone any		
9	semiconductor chip assemblies or packages that infringe any claim of the '106 patent.		
10	18. The process used by ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc. to assemble		
11	semiconductor chip assemblies or packages does infringe any claim of the '106 patent		
12	19. Semiconductor chip assemblies or packages that are assembled by ChipMOS		
13	TECHNOLOGIES Inc., and that are imported by anyone into the United States, do not infringe		
14	any claims of the '106 patent.		
15	20. ChipMOS therefore seeks a Declaratory Judgment from this Court that ChipMOS		
16	TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) Ltd., ChipMOS U.S.A., and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc. do		
17	not directly infringe, contributorily infringe, or induce others to infringe, any claim of the '106		
18	patent.		
19	21. Tessera's actions have caused damages to ChipMOS, in an amount to be		
20	determined at trial. Tessera's allegations of infringement of the '106 Patent to ChipMOS and/or		
21	ChipMOS' customers has caused irreparable injury to ChipMOS, and, unless and until Tessera's		
22	actions are enjoined by this Court, ChipMOS will continue to suffer irreparable injury because of		
23	Tessera's meritless allegations. ChipMOS has no adequate remedy at law.		
24	<u>COUNT II</u>		
25	DECLARATION OF INVALIDITY		
26	22. ChipMOS repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as if		
27	fully set forth herein.		
28	23. The '106 patent, and each claim thereof, is invalid because it does not comply		
	I .		

Complaint for Declaratory Judgment

1	with the statutory requirements of patentability enumerated in, among other things, 35 U.S.C. §§		
2	101, 102, 103, 112, 132, and/or 305.		
3	24. Tessera's actions have caused damages to ChipMOS, in an amount to be		
4	determined at trial. Tessera's allegations of infringement of the '106 Patent to ChipMOS and/or		
5	ChipMOS' customers has caused irreparable injury to ChipMOS, and, unless and until Tessera's		
6	actions are enjoined by this Court, ChipMOS will continue to suffer irreparable injury because of		
7	Tessera's meritless allegations. ChipMOS has no adequate remedy at law.		
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
9	WHEREFORE, ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) Ltd., ChipMOS U.S.A., and		
0	ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc., collectively and individually, respectfully request that the		
1	Court enter a judgment:		
.2	a. declaring that ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) Ltd., ChipMOS		
3	U.S.A., and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc., collectively and individually, do not directly		
4	infringe, contributorily infringe or induce others to infringe any claim of the '106 patent;		
5	b. declaring that a product that incorporates any semiconductor package or		
6	assembly that is assembled by ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc. and that is imported into the		
7	United States does not infringe any claim of the '106 patent;		
8	c. declaring that a semiconductor packages that is assembled by ChipMOS		
9	TECHNOLOGIES Inc. and used, sold, offered for sale or imported into the United States does		
20	not infringe any claim of the '106 patent;		
21	d. declaring that the process used by ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES Inc. to		
22	assemble semiconductor packages or assemblies does not infringe any claim of the '106 patent;		
23	e. declaring that the '106 patent, and each claim therein, is invalid;		
24	f. preliminarily and permanently enjoining Tessera from threatening or		
25	otherwise representing or describing to anyone that: (a) ChipMOS' services of assembling		
26	semiconductor packages; (b) ChipMOS assembled semiconductor packages; or (c) that a product		
27	imported into the United States that includes a ChipMOS assembled semiconductor package,		
28	infringe any claim of the '106 patent;		

Complaint for Declaratory Judgment

1	g. ordering Tessera to pay ChipMOS the damages that it has incurred as a		
2	result of the acts complained of herein, including, but not limited to, an award to ChipMOS of		
3	their lost profits, sales and reputational harm as a result of the acts complained of herein;		
4	h. ordering Tessera to pay ChipMOS their interest, costs and expenses of this		
5	action, and their reasonable attorneys' fees, as a result of the acts complained of herein, pursuant		
6	to 35 U.S.C. § 285; and		
7	i. awarding ChipMOS any other relief that this Court deems just and fit.		
8	JURY DEMAND		
9	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b), ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES (Bermuda) Ltd.,		
10	ChipMOS U.S.A., Inc. and ChipMOS TECHNOLOGIES, Inc. demand a trial by jury of all		
11	issues triable of right by a jury.		
12	DATED: August 11, 2008 SEYFARTH SHAW LLP		
13	7 . C Dad		
14	By flevelale () Lawrence E. Butler		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES INC.,		
16	CHIPMOS TECHNOLOGIES (BERMUDA) LTD. AND CHIPMOS U.S.A., INC.		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Complaint for Declaratory Judgment